



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/475,062	12/30/1999	CORNELIUS V. VICK	042390.P5404	3144	
7590 07/14/2004			EXAMINER		
Crystal B. Sayles			FIELDS, COURTNEY D		
c/o BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP 12400 Wilshire Boulevard			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Seventh Floor Los Angeles, CA 90025			2137	12	
			DATE MAILED: 07/14/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				Transition of the second of th				
		Applicati	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/475,0	062	VICK ET AL.				
		Examine	r	Art Unit				
		Courtney	D. Fields	2137				
The MA	AILING DATE of this commu	nication appears on th	e cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE MAILING - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MON - If the period for recommendation of the second secon	ED STATUTORY PERIOD AS DATE OF THIS COMMUNE or may be available under the provision UTHS from the mailing date of this comply specified above is less than thirty (apply is specified above, the maximum sithin the set or extended period for reply by the Office later than three months madjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no exmunication. 30 days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and vy will, by statute, cause the ap	vent, however, may a reply be ti tutory minimum of thirty (30) da vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron plication to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠ Respons	sive to communication(s) fil	ed on <u>26 April 2004</u> .						
<u> </u>								
3)☐ Since th								
closed in	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of CI	aims							
4a) Of th 5)	 ✓ Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ☐ Claim(s) 1-32 is/are rejected. ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Application Pape	ers							
9)☐ The spec	cification is objected to by t	he Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drav	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applican	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
•	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)⊡ The oath	or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner. N	lote the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119							
a) All b 1. C 2. C 3. C	edgment is made of a claim o) Some * c) None of: ertified copies of the priority ertified copies of the priority opies of the certified copies oplication from the Internati	y documents have bed y documents have bed s of the priority docum onal Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applica ents have been receiv lle 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of Refere	ences Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summar					
	person's Patent Drawing Review (closure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 c il Date		Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Pate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2137

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-30 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection, Howard et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,678,731).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 1-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Howard et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,678,731).

Referring to claims 1,13,24 Howard et al. discloses a system and method for web server user authentication comprising: receiving a request to connect a valid user,

Art Unit: 2137

creating a user password cookie using a shared secret key and transmitting the user password cookie in response to the request in Column 7, lines 1-46.

Referring to claims 2,14, and 26, Howard et al. discloses the claimed limitation of reading a user credential cookie, requesting a user ID and password, receiving the user ID and password, and validating the user's identity in Column 7, lines 48-67, Column 8, lines 1-16.

Referring to claims 3 and 15, Howard et al. discloses the claimed limitation of authenticating the user ID and password with the user profile information stored within the cookie in Column 5, lines 48-67, Column 6, lines 1-27.

Referring to claims 4 and 16, Howard et al. discloses the claimed limitation of an operating system in Figure 2 and Column 4, lines 52-56.

Referring to claims 5,7,17, 23,and 29, Howard et al. discloses the claimed limitation of combining the user ID and password with a time stamp (cookie) and encrypting the cookie using a key in Column 8, lines 18-41.

Referring to claims 6 and 18, Howard et al. discloses the claimed limitation of obtaining the user password cookie, verifying that the user password cookie is valid, and updating the user password cookie using the key in Column 7, lines 48-67, Column 8, lines 1-8. Referring to claims 8 and 28, Howard et al. discloses the claimed limitation of having a group of web servers sharing a key in Column 7, lines 33-39.

Referring to claims 9 and 19, Howard et al. discloses the claimed limitation of authenticating a second valid user requesting access to the web server in Column 3,

Art Unit: 2137

lines 25-32. According to the invention, it is implied that multiple users can be authenticated when requesting access to the web server.

Referring to claims 10-12, 20-22 and 30 are rejected under Claim 1. According to the invention, multiple users can be authenticated and provided access to multiple servers in Column 2, lines 15-26, group of web servers in Column 3, lines 21-24, updating the user password cookie in Column 7, lines 27-31.

Referring to claim 25, Howard et al. discloses the claimed limitation of receiving, by a web server, a request to connect to a user to the web server, determining if the user is valid, if not, then the user is denied, if valid, then if a valid user password cookie exists, then updating the user password cookie using a key, if no cookie exists, then generating a user password cookie, transmitting the user password cookie to the user and connecting the web server to the user in Column 7, lines 1-67, Column 8, lines 1-41. Referring to claim 27, Howard et al. discloses the claimed limitation of obtaining the user password cookie, verifying that the user password cookie is valid, if the user password cookie is valid, then the user is valid, if the user password cookie is not valid, then the user is not valid in Column 9, lines 10-56.

Referring to claim 31, Howard et al. discloses the claimed limitation wherein the shared secret key is used by a communication ring comprising a plurality of web servers in Column 3, lines 21-26.

Referring to claim 32, Howard et al. discloses the claimed limitation wherein the computer program, which when executed by the processor unit further configures the

Art Unit: 2137

computer system for connecting the user to the computer system in Column 6, lines 28-57.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Courtney D. Fields whose telephone number is 703-305-8293. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Thu 7:00 - 5:00 pm; off every Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Morse can be reached on 703-308-4789. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

cdf

July 10, 2004

Matthew SMITHERS
PRIMARY EXAMINER
Art Unit 2/37

Page 5